

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. US7478,688 U6707795 YAMAZAKI S 35. C10698

25M1/0923

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO

277 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK NY 10172 EXAMINER MACK, R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER/

DATE MAILED: 09/23/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



Advisory Action

Application No. 08/478,688

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Yamazaki et al.

Ricky Mack 2516



тн	E PERIO	O FOR RESPON	SE: (check only a) or	b)]		
	a) 💢 e	xpires <u>three</u>	_ months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Aug 28, 1997</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:						
X The proposed amendment(s):						
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.					
	🗴 will not be entered because:					
	🔀 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).					
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).						
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						ejected claims.
NOTE: <u>The combination of the claimed features provided in newly added claims 15, 19 and 25 require further</u> consideration and search.						
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):						
		proposed or ame		he non-allowable claims.	would be al	lowable if submitted in a
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
X For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached w					ached written explan	ation, if any):
Claims allowed: 9-14 Claims objected to:						
		Claims rejected: 1-8				
	The pro	posed drawing	correction filed on		s  has not been a	approved by the Examiner.
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).					
X	s	should be cleare	•	1-8 and there is still some tact the Examiner upon fi n.	ling an FWC to	DAVID C. NELMS ISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2500